PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Name and malling address of the international Searching Authority

	FUI		
To:	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF		
F.R. KELLY & CO.	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND		
Attn. Boyce, Conor	THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL		
27 Clyde Road	SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION		
Ballsbridge	•		
Dublin 4			
IRLANDE			
	(PCT Rule 44.1)		
	Date of malling		
	(day/month/year) 08/07/2008		
	08/07/2008		
Applicant's or agent's life reference			
P85547PC00	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below		
International application No.	International filing date		
PCT/EP2007/009763	(day/month/year) 12/11/2007		
Applicant	1,,		
Аррисан			
FOTONATION VISION LIMITED			
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herew	n report and the written opinion of the International Searching		
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:			
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim	ns of the International Application (see Rule 46):		
When? The time limit for filling such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the			
International Search Report.			
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (4	1 chemin des Colombettes 41-22) 338.82.70		
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the ac			
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the international search	report will be established and that the declaration under		
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition			
	one lea(s) those role 40.2, the applicant is notified that.		
the protest together with the decision thereon has bee applicant's request to forward the texts of both the pro	in transmitted to the International Bureau together with the itest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.		
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app	plicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.		
4. Reminders			
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the	on international configuration will be published by the		
International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international		
application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international B before the completion of the technical preparations for internation	ureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively,		
1	·		
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy o	f such comments to all designated Offices unless an		
International preliminary examination report has been or is to be a	established. These comments would also be made available to		
the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the price			
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of sor examination must be filled if the applicant wishes to posipone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Off	entry into the national phase untif 30 months from the priority within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed		
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19		
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the app Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	olicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's		

Authorized officer

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filting of amendments undor article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patient Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty, in case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Caulier, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amond the claims of the international application. It is hould however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international policitation, it is hould however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international policitation (salims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international policitation (salims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international policitation. Further more, at the other control of the claims and the claims are controlled to the claims and the claims are controlled to the claims and the claims are controlled to the

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no International search report would be astabilished (see PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the Intornational phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Wilhin 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit portifes late. It should be noted, however, that the annoniments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the betchical proparations for international publication (Riglu 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filled

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filled.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	F BOTRO 4 1000			
P85547PC00	ACTION as we	see Form PCT/ISA/220 i as, where applicable, Item 5 below.			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/EP2007/009763	12/11/2007	26/09/2007			
Applicant					
FOTONATION VISION LIMITED					
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	prepared by this international Searching Auth ansmilled to the international Bureau.	ority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This international search report consists of	f a total of sheets.				
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.			
Basis of the report					
Process.	international search was carried out on the be				
	pplication in the language in which it was filed sinternational application into	, which is the language			
of a translation fu	rnished for the purposes of International search	h (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))			
 This international search is authorized by or notified to 	eport has been eslablished taking into accou o this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6 <i>bis</i> (a	nt the rectification of an obvious mistake)).			
c. With regard to any nucleo	tide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed	In the international application, see Box No. I.			
2. Certain claims were four	Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II)				
3. Unity of invention is lack	king (see Box No III)				
4. With regard to the title,					
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant				
the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as follows:				
5. With regard to the abstract,					
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant				
the text has been establis may, within one month fro	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Author in the date of mailing of this international sear	ty as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ch report, submit comments to this Authority			
6. With regard to the drawings,		•			
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	ublished with the abstract is Figure No. 2				
X as suggested by t	**				
	s Authority, because the applicant falled to su				
	s Authority, because this figure better characte e published with the abstract	prizes the Invention			
L	position multiple desired				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/EP2007/009763

Relevant to claim No.

1,11,12

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. G06K9/68

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) 606K

Category* Citation of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages

SEBASTIEN ROUX ET AL: "Embedded

· Convolutional Face Finder" MULTIMEDIA AND EXPO, 2006 IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON, IEEE, PI, 1 July 2006 (2006-07-01), pages 285-288,

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are located in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the Injernational search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

γ .	ISBN: 978-1-4244-0366-0 the whole document	2-10
Y	EP 1 785 914 A (SONY CORP [JP 16 May 2007 (2007-05-16) abstract; figures 4,7a,7b paragraphs [0048], [0080] - [0088] - [0090]	
		-/
* Special of 'A' docume consider to docume which citation other to 'P' docume later to the complete to the com	ent which may librow doubts on priority claim(s) or is ciled to establish the publication date of another nor other special reason (as specified) out referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	The later document published after the International Illing date or printing date and not in conflict with the application but chief to understand the principle or theory underlying the chief to understand the principle or theory underlying the chief to understand the principle or theory underlying the chief to consider the occurred to be considered to involve an attentive table content the document in Labora abone the chief to th
1	7 June 2008	08/07/2008
	nailing address of the ISA/ European Palent Office, P.B. 5818 Palenliaan 2 N.L. – 2280 PM Filipsnijk Tof. (-31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized Officer Rimassa, Simone

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/EP2007/009763

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Calegory* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. Α MING-HSUAN YANG: NARENDRA AHUJA: "Face 1,11,12 detection and gesture recognition for human-computer interaction" 2004, KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS, UNITED KINGDOM , XP002484603 page 33 - page 35; figure 2.10 HEISELE B ET AL: "Hierarchical 1.11.12 classification and feature reduction for fast face detection with support vector machines" PATTERN RECOGNITION, ELSEVIER, GB. vol. 36, no. 9, 1 September 2003 (2003-09-01), pages 2007-2017, XP004429549 ISSN: 0031-3203 section 3. Hierarchy of classifiers abstract Α US 2007/053614 A1 (MORI KATSUHIKO [JP] ET 1.11.12 AL) 8 March 2007 (2007-03-08) abstract: figure 5 paragraph [0053]

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/EP2007/009763

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 1785914	A	16-05-2007	CN	1967566	A	23-05-200
			JP	2007135115	Α	31-05-200
			KR	20070051689	Α	18-05-200
			US	2007110321	A1	17-05-200
US 2007053614	A1	08-03-2007	CN	1928887	Α	14-03-200
			JP	2007072606	Α	22-03-200

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the " INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (daymonth/year) PCT/FP2007/009763 12.11.2007 26 09 2007 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G06K9/68 Applicant FOTONATION VISION LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ⊠ Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelly, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of Authorized Officer

this opinion

PCT/SAP10

Rlmassa, Simone

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2088



European Patent Office

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx; 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

D-80298 Munich

-	Во	x N	p. I Basis of the opinion
1.	Wit	h re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	×	the	e international application in the language in which it was filed
			ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the rposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2.			is opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.			gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. t	уре	of material:
			a sequence listing
			table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. f	orm	at of material:
			on paper
			in electronic form
	c. t	ime	of filing/furnishing:
			contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in electronic form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
4.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ples is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelly (N) Yes: Claims <u>2-10</u> No: Claims <u>1,11,12</u>

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims <u>1-12</u>

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-12
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: Embedded Convolutional Face Finder
 Sébastien Roux, Frank Mamalet and Christophe Garcia

D2: EP 1785914 A1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses a method operable in a digital image acquisition system (cf. Abstract and Introduction) comprising the following steps:

- a) receiving a relatively low resolution image of a scene from an image stream, said scene potentially including one or more faces (cf. paragraph 2. CFF Algorithm Overview, in particular page 286 right-hand column: pyramid of scaled version of the original image);
- b) applying an high quality face classifier to said image to identify relatively large and medium sized face regions (cf. paragraph 2. CFF Algorithm Overview, in particular page 286 right-hand column: the coarse detector - reference 2 in Figure 2 - and the fine detector - reference 3 in Figure 2 - realize an high quality face classifier for faces of different sizes);
- c) applying at least one relaxed face classifier to identify relatively small sized face regions (cf. paragraph 2. CFF Algorithm Overview, in particular page 286 right-hand column: the coarse detector - reference 2 in Figure 2 - realizes a relaxed face classifier);
- d) receiving a relatively high resolution image of the same scene (cf. paragraph 2.CFF Algorithm Overview, in particular page 286 right-hand column: pyramid of scaled version of the original image); and
- e) applying at least one high quality face classifier to said identified small sized face regions in said higher resolution version of said image (cf. paragraph 2.CFF Algorithm

Overview, in particular page 286 right-hand column: the fine classifier takes face region candidates and applies locally a face detection algorithm on a small pyramid around the center position of each face region candidate.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new.

- The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 12, which therefore is also considered not new.
- 4. The method of document D1 is optimized to executed over platform with limited hardware resources (cf. paragraphs 3. and 4.). Although in document D1 there is no explicit mention to the subject-matter of claims 2 and 10, it is considered that such workload distribution is a matter of normal design procedure which the skilled person would select in accordance with the hardware resources available, without the exercise of an inventive step.
- As for claim 11 cf. what has been set out about claim 1, in particular with reference to the main pyramid of scaled version of the original image and the small pyramid around the face candidate center position.
- Dependent claims 3 9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see documents D1 and D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.
- The cited documents D1 and D2 should be indicated in the description as relevant state of the art (Rule 5.1(ii)).
 - The subject-matter of the independent claims should be delimited against the disclosure of document D1 (Rule 6.3b(I)(ii)).